PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 1 4 JUL 2004 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2004/001281 06.02.2004 07.02.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G11B5/62, G11B5/84, C25D11/04, C25D11/16 Applicant CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II ☑ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, Inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of malling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Benfield, A

Authorized Officer

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2973





_	Box	k No	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		iar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following iguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
	[		a sequence listing			
	[	3	table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:					
	[		in written format			
	[		in computer readable form			
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
	[		contained in the international application as filed.			
		]	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	[	]	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		cop	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
4.	Additional comments:					



International application No. PCT/JP2004/001281

Box No. II Priority						
1.	⋈	The fol	lowing document has not been furnished:			
		×	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).			
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).			
		Consec	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has leless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
2.		This op	inion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international te indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.			
3.			heartations, if nagarages			



Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to povelty inventive step and industrial							
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
☐ the entire international application,	the entire international application,						
☑ claims Nos. 10-12	claims Nos. 10-12						
because:							
the said international application, of does not require an international p	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
the description, claims or drawings unclear that no meaningful opinion	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
the claims, or said claims Nos. are could be formed.	The following of the diding real and an inductionality supported by the apportation that he meanings it as in						
□ no international search report has I	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 10-12						
☐ the nucleotide and/or amino acid se	·						
the written form	has пot been furnished						
ם	does not comply with the standard						
the computer readable form	has not been furnished						
	does not comply with the standard						
the tables related to the nucleotide not comply with the technical require	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
☐ See separate sheet for further details							



Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Noveity (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-20

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-20

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No:

1-20

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet